

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

# Tumour characterisation, staging and operability assessment in ovarian carcinoma: whole body diffusion-weighted MRI versus CT

K Michielsen<sup>1\*</sup>, I Vergote<sup>2</sup>, R Vanslembrouck<sup>1</sup>, E Mussen<sup>1</sup>, F Amant<sup>2</sup>, K Leunen<sup>2</sup>, P Moerman<sup>3</sup>, S Fieuws<sup>4</sup>, F De Keyzer<sup>1</sup>, G Souverijns<sup>5</sup>, S Dymarkowski<sup>1</sup>, V Vandecaveye<sup>1</sup>

From International Cancer Imaging Society Meeting and 15th Annual Teaching Course (ICIS 2015) London, UK. 5-7 October 2015

## Aim

To prospectively evaluate whole body diffusion-weighted MRI (WB-DWI/MRI) for tumour characterisation, staging and prediction of complete (R0)-resection compared with computed tomography (CT) in patients with suspected ovarian carcinoma.

## Methods

One-hundred-sixty-six patients suspected for ovarian carcinoma underwent 3T WB-DWI/MRI using 2 b-values ( $b=0-1000$  s/mm<sup>2</sup>), T2-weighted and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted sequences in addition to contrast-enhanced CT. WB-DWI/MRI and CT were independently and blindly evaluated and correlated with pathological findings at surgery as reference standard. Superiority was assessed using two-tailed McNemar tests for following parameters: characterisation of the malignant nature and primary origin of the ovarian mass, assessment of disease extent according to FIGO stage and prediction of R0-resection according to predefined operability criteria. Inter observer agreement for WB-DWI/MRI and CT was determined using Cohen's kappa statistics.

## Results

For characterisation of malignancy, WB-DWI/MRI showed significantly higher accuracy compared with CT (93 versus 82%,  $p=0.001$ ). WB-DWI/MRI correctly depicted a non-ovarian malignant mass in 24/32 (75%) of cases compared to only 6/32 (19%) for CT ( $p<0.001$ ). WB-DWI/MRI assigned more ovarian carcinoma patients to the correct

FIGO stage (71/94, 76%) compared with CT (39/94, 41%). For prediction of R0-resection, WB-DWI/MRI showed significantly higher sensitivity (95 versus 80%), specificity (92 versus 74%) and accuracy (94 versus 77%) compared with CT ( $p=0.039$ ,  $p=0.012$  and  $p<0.001$ , respectively). Interobserver agreement was moderate to almost perfect ( $\kappa = 0.53-1.00$ ) for WB-DWI/MRI and slight to moderate ( $\kappa = 0.04-0.52$ ) for CT.

## Conclusion

WB-DWI/MRI is superior to CT for lesion characterisation, staging and operability assessment of ovarian cancer justifying its development for pre-operative assessment of ovarian cancer patients.

## Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium. <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Division of Gynaecologic Oncology, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium. <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium. <sup>4</sup>Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium. <sup>5</sup>Department of Radiology, Jessa Hospitals, Hasselt, Belgium.

Published: 2 October 2015

doi:10.1186/1470-7330-15-S1-P40

**Cite this article as:** Michielsen *et al.*: Tumour characterisation, staging and operability assessment in ovarian carcinoma: whole body diffusion-weighted MRI versus CT. *Cancer Imaging* 2015 **15**(Suppl 1):P40.

\* Correspondence: katrijn.michielsen@med.kuleuven.be

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article