

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Chemotherapy response evaluation in metastatic non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) with 18F- FDG PET/CT and CT scan

F Fathinul*, N Abdul Jalil

From International Cancer Imaging Society (ICIS) 14th Annual Teaching Course Heidelberg, Germany. 9-11 October 2014

Background

Therapeutic response assessment in NSCLC is fundamental in order to avert futile surgery and an ineffective toxic chemotherapy. This study sought to determine the potential of the PET response criteria over the RECIST Criteria 1.1 in evaluating the treatment response of the NSCLC patients.

Materials and methods

Eighteen patients (age; 60.16±5.39 years) with metastatic NSCLC were evaluated after 4 courses of chemotherapy and/or target therapy. [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose with positron-emission tomography (PET) and computed tomography (CT) scans were subsequently used to assess tumour response. Discrepancies between the two procedures were evaluated after 4 courses of chemotherapy of the primary tumour lesion using the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours (RECIST 1.1) and European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) criteria evaluating CT and PET respectively.

Results

Five morphologically partial responses (PR), two morphologically stable disease (SD), one morphologically progressive disease (PD) and two morphologically complete morphological response (CR) were correlated with the PET metabolic response criteria respectively. Two (PR) were evaluated as 2 complete metabolic response (CMR). Three cases of (SD) were evaluated as 3 CMR. Three morphologically (PD) were evaluated as 1 CR, 1 PMR and 1 SMD. There were significant difference between the SUVmax changes; mean: 0.51± 0.29 g/ml

Published: 9 October 2014

doi:10.1186/1470-7330-14-S1-P10

Cite this article as: Fathinul and Jalil: Chemotherapy response evaluation in metastatic non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) with 18F- FDG PET/CT and CT scan. Cancer Imaging 2014 14(Suppl 1):P10.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit



^{*} Correspondence: ahmadsaadff@gmail.com Centre for Diagnostic Nuclear imaging, University Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor Malaysia



versus size changes; mean 0.30 ± 0.24 cm, p < 0.05. Conclusion: The RECIST 1.1 and the EORTC are two discordant methods in evaluating the therapeutic response of the metastatic NSCLC with the potential of greater parametric changes of the SUV in alluding changes of the tumour metabolic landscape.